# Attachment to Independent Case Review Report 

 For CDRU \# 6365 Case file \# 95-252441.Material Examiner: $\quad$ Malone (RO)

Remarks:
Case resulted in guilty plea, no transcript available.


Was any other material reviewed? Xo Yes $\quad$ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letter dated 11-8-82
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Results of Review

File \#: 95-252441 Item or Specimen \# Reviewed: Q1-Q45, K1-K6

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any " $\mathrm{No}^{\prime}$ or "Unable to Determine" Responses

1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
$\square$ Yes $\quad$ No X O Unable to Determine
2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes? $\quad$ Yes $\mathrm{XO} \mathrm{No} \quad \mathrm{O}$ Unable to Determine

## Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Xo Transcript not available.
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? } & \square Y e s & \square \text { No } & \square \text { Unable to Determine } \\ \text { 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? } & \square Y e s & \square \text { No } & \text { ם Unable to Deternine } \\ \text { 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? } & \square \text { Yes } & \text { a No } & \square \text { Unable to Deternine }\end{array}$


## Comments

(Set forth by above question \#, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

| File \#: $\quad$ 95-252441 |
| :--- |
| \#1: With microscopic hair comparison, one cannot determine from the notes that the examination was conducted |
| in an appropriate manner. |
| \#2: Documentation is poor. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil instead of ink. Abbreviations |
| are used that are difficult to interpret. Some of the hair recovered from the Q items are marked as "NSFC". |
| Presumably, this means "Not Suitable for Comparison", but there is no documentation as to why these hair are |
| unsuitable. The technician does not document the recovery of hair from any of the $Q$ items as stated in the report |

Review completed at: $\quad 10: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ (Time), $11 / 08 / 01 \quad$ (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest $1 / 4$ hour): 0.50 hr .

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of ny review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of


Initials:


